BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Appeal No. 18/2016 (M.A. No. 237/2016 & M.A. No. 238/2016)

M/s. Adsum Solutions (Pvt.) Limited Vs. Union of India & Ors.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. NAMBIAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAGHUVENDRA S. RATHORE, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE PROF. A.R. YOUSUF, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE MR. BIKRAM SINGH SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant / Appellant : Mr. Abhaya Kumar Dixit and Mr. Atul Kumar Dixit, Advs. Respondent No. 1 : Ms. Sakshi Popli, Adv. for MoEF

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal	
Item No. 01	The challenge in the present Appeal is to the Notification	
March 18,	dated 22 nd December, 2015. The Appellant while partially	
2016 A	supporting the Notification submits that it should be	
	amended to the extent that oxo bio-degradable plastic	
	should be permitted to be manufactured and used in the	
	market.	
	The present Appeal is barred by time. The Misc.	
19 A. W.	Application No. 237/2016 has been filed for condonation	
	of delay in filing the Appeal. The Application for	
	condonation of delay is devoid of sufficient facts and	
	details and even the period for which, the delay is sought	
	to be condoned. It is an Appeal under Section 16 of the	
	National Green Tribunal Act, 2010. It is required to be	
	filed within 30 days from the date on which the order or	
	decision or direction or determination is communicated.	
	Though, it is a direction under Section of 5 of	
	Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, it was notified, thus	
	put in the public domain on the date it was issued. The	
	Appeal ought to have been filed within 30 days from 22^{nd}	
	December, 2015. However the Appeal has been filed on	

08th March, 2016. Learned counsel appearing for the Appellant has referred to paragraphs 4.2.5 and 4.2.9 to the main Appeal. Again we find no substance in relation to condonation of delay; Even if we were to take some plausible or sufficient cause had been stated in the said paragraphs we are not satisfied. The Appellant has not been able to show sufficient cause which prevented them from filing the Appeal within the prescribed period of limitation of 30 days. Beside that we may also notice that if the Appellant had any suggestion to make, he ought to have approached MoEF. The Learned counsel appearing for the Appellant has referred letter dated 06th January, 2016 addressed to the Principal Secretary, Environment, State of Uttar Pradesh who is not competent to resolve the issue.

The Appeal is barred by time and even on the ground that the Appellant should have invoked the statutory jurisdiction vested in the MoEF before approaching the Tribunal.

We leave open to the Appellant to approach MoEF is he so desires. If such an application is moved the same should be disposed of expeditiously and in accordance with law.

With the above directions, Appeal No. 18/2016, M.A. No. 237/2016 & M.A. No. 238/2016 stand disposed of without any order as to costs.

....,CP (Swatanter Kumar)

....,JM (M.S. Nambiar)

JM (Raghuvendra S. Rathore)
,EM (Prof. A.R. Yousuf)
,EM (B.S. Sajwan)

